

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/692,878	10/24/2003	Orhan Soykan	P-7835.09 Continuation 2	1927
7	08/20/2004		EXAMINER	
Kenneth J. Collier			WOLFE JR, WILLIS RAY	
Medtronic, Inc	• :			
710 Medtronic Parkway N.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, 1	MN 55432		3747	

DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/00
	10/692,878	SOYKAN ET AL.	, O
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on., a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status	X		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		*	
,	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un			nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) 20-25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	thdrawn from consideration.	'P'	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection	accepted or b) objected to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call	correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)		X X'	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 102403. 	48) Paper No	r Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	-152)

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 09/706,531, filed November 3, 2000. A reference to the prior application must be inserted as the first sentence of the specification of this application or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or 120. See 37 CFR 1.78(a). For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of all nonprovisional applications. Also, the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be included.

If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference to the prior application must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time

Art Unit: 3747

period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A priority claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 *
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 1-5, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zacouto. Note column 8, line 12 through column 9, line 34 and the examples given in column 14, line 6 through column 15, line 41.

Claims 1, 3, 14 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bui et al. Note column 4, line 57 through column 6, line 36.

Claims 1, 3, 14 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Collins. Note column 11, lines 40-57.

Claims 1, 3, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Magovern. Note column 2, line 10 through column 3, line 28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any

Art Unit: 3747

inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zacouto in view of Levy et al (WO 94 11,506). Zacouto discloses the claimed invention except for the genetic material. Levy et al teaches that it is known to use an adenovirus-mediated gene transfer to regulate function in cardiac and vascular smooth muscle cells with a recombinant adenovirus comprising a DNA sequence that codes for a gene product is delivered to a cardiac or vascular smooth muscle cell. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the genetic material of Zacouto by providing an adenovirus-mediated material as taught by Levy et al in order to promote better muscle growth.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zacouto in view of Levy et al. (WO 94 21,237). Zacouto discloses the claimed invention except for the particular cell repopulation source. Levy et al. teaches that it is known to provide the delivery of therapeutic agents comprising a biocompatible polymeric patch (30) with an incorporated therapeutic agent for direct placement at the epicardium of heart (31). It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3747

one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the repopulation cell source of Zacouto by providing antiarrhythmic agents comprising a biocompatible <u>polymeric</u> patch with an incorporated therapeutic agent as taught by Levy et al in order to provide for better cell growth.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zacouto in view of Trabucco et al. Zacouto discloses the claimed invention except for the utilization of a capsule shaped stimulator. Trabucco et al teaches that it is known to provide a capsule shaped stimulator. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stimulator of Zacouto by providing a capsule shaped stimulator as taught by Trabucco in order to promote better stimulation of the contacted area.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20-25 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The reference of Mannion et al is cited to show continuous electrical stimulation to promote cell growth.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. whose telephone number

Art Unit: 3747

is (703) 308-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday (4:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry C. Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3747

WRW August 18, 2004